



## COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED BIPOLAR METRIC SPACES

B.SRINUVASA RAO<sup>1</sup> and G.N.V.KISHORE<sup>2</sup>

1. Research Scholar, Dept. of Mathematics, KL University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

2. Associate Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, KL University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

**Abstract:** In this paper, we establish the existence of coupled fixed point theorems by using weak contractive type, mixed monotone mapping in a bipolar metric space endowed with partial order. Some interesting consequences of our results achieved. Finally, we gave an illustration which presents the applicability of achieved results.

**KEYWORDS:** Bipolar metric space, Partial ordering, Weak contractive mapping, Mixed monotone mappings and Coupled fixed point.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1922, S. Banach [1] introduced the concept of Banach contraction principle. It is most celebrated fixed point result in nonlinear analysis. Afterward many investigators established some important fixed point results see ([5]-[10]). Recently, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [2], Ran and Reurings [3], Agarwal et al. [4] established some new theorems for contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. The concept of mixed monotone mapping has been introduced by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [2] and established some coupled fixed point results for mixed monotone mappings. Subsequently to improve many authors have established coupled fixed point results for mixed monotone see ([11] - [15]). Very recently, in 2016 Mutlu and Gürdal [16] introduced the notion of bipolar metric spaces, which is one of generalizations metric spaces. Also they investigated some fixed point and coupled fixed point results on this space, see ([15], [16]). In this paper, we will continue to study coupled fixed points in the frame of bipolar metric spaces. More squarely, we extend the results of Gnana Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham ([2]) for a mixed monotone contractive mappings. We establish the existence of  $a \in A \cup B$ , for a continuous mapping  $F: (A; B) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  such that  $F(a) = a$  where  $(A; B)$  is a partially ordered set with a bipolar metric on it. In the case that  $F$  is not continuous, we prove the

existence of a coupled fixed point results by making an additional assumption on  $(A; B)$ .

**Definition 1.1** ([16]): Let  $A, B$  be two non-empty sets. Suppose that  $d: A \times B \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a mapping satisfying the below properties:

- (i) If  $d(a, b) = 0$ , then  $a=b$  for all  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ ,
- (ii) If  $a = b$ , then  $d(a, b) = 0$ , for all  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ ,
- (iii) If  $d(a, b) = d(b, a)$ , for all  $a, b \in A \cap B$
- (iv) If  $d(a_1, b_2) \leq d(a_1, b_1) + d(a_2, b_1) + d(a_2, b_2)$  for all  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . Then the mapping  $d$  is termed as Bipolar-metric of the pair  $(A, B)$  and the triple  $(A, B, d)$  is termed as Bipolar-metric space.

**Example 1.2** ([16]): Let  $A = (1, \infty)$  and  $B = [-1, 1]$ . Define  $d: A \times B \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as  $d(a, b) = |a^2 - b^2|$ , for all  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ . Then the triple  $(A, B, d)$  is a Bipolar-metric space.

**Definition 1.3** ([16]): Assume  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2)$  as two pairs of sets and a function as  $F: A_1 \cup B_1 \rightrightarrows A_2 \cup B_2$  is said to be a covariant map. If  $F(A_1) \subseteq A_2$  and  $F(B_1) \subseteq B_2$ , and denote this with  $F: (A_1, B_1) \rightrightarrows (A_2, B_2)$ . And the mapping  $F: A_1 \cup B_1 \leftrightsquigarrow A_2 \cup B_2$  is said to be a contravariant map. If  $F(A_1) \subseteq B_2$ , and  $F(B_1) \subseteq A_2$ , and write  $F: (A_1, B_1) \leftrightsquigarrow (A_2, B_2)$ . In particular, if  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are bipolar metric on  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2)$ , respectively, we sometimes use the notation

$F: (A_1, B_1, d_1) \rightrightarrows (A_2, B_2, d_2)$  and  $F: (A_1, B_1, d_1) \rightleftarrows (A_2, B_2, d_2)$ .

**Definition 1.4** ([16]): Assume  $(A, B, d)$  as a bipolar metric space. A point  $v \in A \cup B$  is termed as a left point if  $v \in A$ , a right point if  $v \in B$  and a central point if both. Similarly, a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  on the set  $A$  and a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  on the set  $B$  are called a left sequence and right sequence respectively. In a bipolar metric space, sequence is the simple term for a left or right sequence. A sequence  $\{v_n\}$  is considered convergent to a point  $v$ , if and only if  $\{v_n\}$  is the left sequence,  $v$  is the right point and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(v_n, v) = 0$ ; or  $\{v_n\}$  is a right sequence,  $v$  is a left point and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(v, v_n) = 0$ . A bi-sequence  $(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\})$  on  $(A, B, d)$  is a sequence on the set  $A \times B$ . If the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are convergent, then the bi-sequence  $(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\})$  is said to be convergent.  $(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\})$  is Cauchy sequence, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$ . In a bipolar metric space, every convergent Cauchy bi-sequence is bi-convergent. A bipolar metric space is called complete, if every Cauchy bisequence is convergent hence biconvergent.

**Definition 1.5** ([16]): Let  $(A_1, B_1, d_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2, d_2)$  be bipolar metric spaces.

(i) A map  $F: (A_1, B_1, d_1) \rightrightarrows (A_2, B_2, d_2)$  is called left-continuous at a point  $a_0 \in A_1$ , if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_1(a_0, b) < \delta$  implies that  $d_2(F(a_0), F(b)) < \epsilon$  for all  $b \in B_1$ .

(ii) A map  $F: (A_1, B_1, d_1) \rightrightarrows (A_2, B_2, d_2)$  is called right-continuous at a point  $b_0 \in B_1$ , if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_1(a, b_0) < \delta$  implies  $d_2(F(a), F(b_0)) < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in A_1$ .

(iii) A map  $F$  is considered continuous, if it left continuous at each point  $a \in A_1$  and righty continuous at each point  $b \in B_1$ .

(iv) A contravariant map  $F: (A_1, B_1, d_1) \rightleftarrows (A_2, B_2, d_2)$  is continuous if and only if  $F: (A_1, B_1, d_1) \rightrightarrows (A_2, B_2, d_2)$  it is continuous as a covariant map

It is observed from the definition (1.4) that a contravariant or a covariant map  $F$  from  $(A_1, B_1, d_1)$  to  $(A_2, B_2, d_2)$  is continuous if and only if  $(u_n) \rightarrow v$  on  $(A_1, B_1, d_1)$  implies  $F((u_n)) \rightarrow F(v)$  on  $(A_2, B_2, d_2)$ .

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $(A; B; \leq)$  be a partial ordered set and  $F: (A; B) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant mapping, we say that  $F$  is non-decreasing with respect to  $\leq$  if  $a; b \in A \cup B, a \leq b$  implies  $F(a) \leq F(b)$ , and similarly, a non-increasing mapping is defined.

**Definition 1.7:** Let  $(A; B; \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: (A^2; B^2) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant map. The map  $F$  has the mixed monotone property, if  $F(a; b)$  is monotone non-decreasing in  $a$  and is monotone non-increasing in  $b$ , that is, for any  $(a; b) \in A^2 \cup B^2$ ,

$$(a_1, a_2) \in A^2; a_1 \leq a_2 \Rightarrow F(a_1; b) \leq F(a_2; b).$$

$$(b_1, b_2) \in B^2; b_1 \leq b_2 \Rightarrow F(a, b_1) \geq F(a, b_2).$$

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $F: (A^2; B^2) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant map, an element  $(a; b) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  is called coupled fixed point of  $F$  if  $F(a; b) = a$ ; and  $F(b; a) = b$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $(A; B; \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $d$  be a bipolar metric on  $(A; B)$  such that  $(A; B; d, \leq)$  is complete bipolar metric space. Moreover, we endow the product space  $(A^2; B^2)$  with the following partial order: For  $(a; b), (p; q) \in A^2 \cup B^2$   $(p; q) \leq (a; b) \Leftrightarrow a \geq p; b \leq q$ . We begin with the following theorem that achieves the existence of a fixed point results for a mapping  $F$  on the product space  $(A^2; B^2)$ .

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $F: (A^2; B^2) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant map. If  $F$  is a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on  $(A; B)$  and  $\mu, \lambda$  be a non-negative constants with the condition  $d(F(l; m); F(r; s)) \leq \mu d(l; r) + \lambda d(m; s)$  for all  $l; m \in A$  and  $r; s \in B$  with  $l \geq r; m \leq s$ ; **(1)** and  $\mu + \lambda < 1$ . If there is  $(l_0; m_0) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  such that  $l_0 \leq F(l_0; m_0), m_0 \geq F(m_0, l_0)$ . Then there exist  $(l; m) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  such that the mapping  $F: A^2 \cup B^2 \rightarrow A \cup B$  has  $F(l; m) = l$ ; and  $F(m; l) = m$

**Proof:** Let  $l_0; m_0 \in A$  and  $r_0; s_0 \in B$ , choose an elements  $l_1; m_1 \in A$  and  $r_1; s_1 \in B$ , such that  $l_0 \leq F(l_0; m_0) = l_1; m_0 \geq F(m_0, l_0) = m_1$ ;

And also  $r_0 \leq F(r_0; s_0) = r_1, s_0 \geq F(s_0, r_0) = s_1$ ,

Similarly, we take  
 $F(l_1; m_1) = l_2$   $F(m_1, l_1) = m_2$ ; and also  
 $F(r_1; s_1) = r_2$   $F(s_1, r_1) = s_2$ : Denote

$$F^2(l_0, m_0) = F(F(l_0, m_0), F(m_0, l_0)) \\ = F(l_1, m_1) = l_2$$

$$F^2(m_0, l_0) = F(F(m_0, l_0), F(l_0, m_0)) \\ = F(m_1, l_1) = m_2$$

$$F^2(r_0, s_0) = F(F(r_0, s_0), F(s_0, r_0)) \\ = F(r_1, s_1) = r_2$$

$$F^2(s_0, r_0) = F(F(s_0, r_0), F(r_0, s_0)) \\ = F(s_1, r_1) = s_2$$

In this process, we get a bi-sequences  
 $(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(m_0, l_0)) = (l_n, m_n)$  and

$(F^n(r_0, s_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) = (r_n, s_n)$  with

$$l_{n+1} = F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0) \\ = F(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(m_0, l_0)) = F(l_n, m_n)$$

$$m_{n+1} = F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0) \\ = F(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^n(l_0, m_0)) = F(m_n, l_n)$$

$$r_{n+1} = F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0) \\ = F(F^n(r_0, s_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) = F(r_n, s_n)$$

$$s_{n+1} = F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0) \\ = F(F^n(s_0, r_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) = F(s_n, r_n) \quad \forall n \in N$$

Obviously, verify that

$$l_0 \leq F(l_0, m_0) = l_1 \leq F^2(l_0, m_0) = l_2 \leq \dots \leq F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0) \leq \dots$$

$$m_0 \geq F(m_0, l_0) = m_1 \geq F^2(m_0, l_0) = m_2 \geq \dots \geq F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0) \geq \dots$$

$$r_0 \leq F(r_0, s_0) = r_1 \leq F^2(r_0, s_0) = r_2 \leq \dots \leq F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0) \leq \dots$$

$$s_0 \geq F(s_0, r_0) = s_1 \geq F^2(s_0, r_0) = s_2 \geq \dots \geq F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0) \geq \dots$$

Now, Show that, for  $n \in N$  and let  $\mu + \lambda = \xi$

$$d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\ + d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0)) \leq \\ \xi^n \left[ d(l_0, F(r_0, s_0)) + d(m_0, F(s_0, r_0)) \right] \quad (2)$$

Indeed, for  $n=1$ , using  $F(l_0, m_0) \geq l_0$ ,  
 $F(m_0, l_0) \leq m_0$  and  $F(r_0, s_0) \geq r_0$ ,  
 $F(s_0, r_0) \leq s_0$

$$d(F(l_0, m_0), F^2(r_0, s_0)) \\ = d(F(l_0, m_0), F(F(r_0, s_0), F(s_0, r_0))) \\ \leq \mu d(l_0, F(r_0, s_0)) + \lambda d(m_0, F(s_0, r_0)) \quad (3)$$

and

$$d(F(m_0, l_0), F^2(s_0, r_0)) \\ = d(F(m_0, l_0), F(F(s_0, r_0), F(r_0, s_0))) \\ \leq \mu d(m_0, F(s_0, r_0)) + \lambda d(l_0, F(r_0, s_0)) \quad (4)$$

Combing (3) and (4) we have

$$d(F(l_0, m_0), F^2(r_0, s_0)) \\ + d(F(m_0, l_0), F^2(s_0, r_0)) \\ \leq (\mu + \lambda) \left[ d(l_0, F(r_0, s_0)) + d(m_0, F(s_0, r_0)) \right] \\ \leq \xi \left[ d(l_0, F(r_0, s_0)) + d(m_0, F(s_0, r_0)) \right]$$

And also show that

$$d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) \\ + d(F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) \\ \leq \xi^n \left[ d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) + d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0) \right] \quad (5)$$

Indeed, for  $n=1$ , using  $F(l_0, m_0) \geq l_0$ ,  
 $F(m_0, l_0) \leq m_0$  and  $F(r_0, s_0) \geq r_0$ ,  
 $F(s_0, r_0) \leq s_0$

$$d(F^2(l_0, m_0), F(r_0, s_0)) \\ = d(F(F(l_0, m_0), F(m_0, l_0)), F(r_0, s_0)) \\ \leq \mu d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) + \lambda d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0) \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^2(m_0, l_0), F(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &= d(F(F(m_0, l_0), F(l_0, m_0)), F(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\leq \mu d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0) + \lambda d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6) and (7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^2(l_0, m_0), F(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &+ d(F^2(m_0, l_0), F(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\leq (\mu + \lambda) \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) \\ &+ d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0) \end{aligned} \right] \\
 &\leq \xi \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) \\ &+ d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0) \end{aligned} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that (2) and (5) hold. Using

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0) \geq F^n(l_0, m_0), \\
 & F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0) \leq F^n(m_0, l_0) \text{ and} \\
 & F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0) \geq F^n(r_0, s_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0) \leq F^n(s_0, r_0).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &= d(F(F^{n-1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n-1}(m_0, l_0)), \\
 &\quad F(F^{n-1}(r_0, s_0), F^{n-1}(s_0, r_0))) \\
 &\leq \mu d(F^{n-1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n-1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\quad + \lambda d(F^{n-1}(m_0, l_0), F^{n-1}(s_0, r_0)) \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &= d(F(F^{n-1}(m_0, l_0), F^{n-1}(l_0, m_0)), \\
 &\quad F(F^{n-1}(s_0, r_0), F^{n-1}(r_0, s_0))) \\
 &\leq \mu d(F^{n-1}(m_0, l_0), F^{n-1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\quad + \lambda d(F^{n-1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n-1}(r_0, s_0)) \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  Combining (8) and (9), then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\quad + d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq (\mu + \lambda) \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(F^{n-1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n-1}(r_0, s_0)) \\ &+ d(F^{n-1}(m_0, l_0), F^{n-1}(s_0, r_0)) \end{aligned} \right] \\
 & \leq \xi \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(F^{n-1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n-1}(r_0, s_0)) \\ &+ d(F^{n-1}(m_0, l_0), F^{n-1}(s_0, r_0)) \end{aligned} \right] \\
 & \quad \vdots \\
 & \leq \xi \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(F(l_0, m_0), F(r_0, s_0)) \\ &+ d(F(m_0, l_0), F(s_0, r_0)) \end{aligned} \right] \\
 & \leq \xi \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) \\ &+ d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0) \end{aligned} \right] \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the property ( $B_4$ ), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^m(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\leq d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\quad + d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\quad + \dots + d(F^{m-1}(l_0, m_0), F^m(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\text{and} \\
 & d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^m(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\leq d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\quad + d(F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0), F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\quad + \dots + d(F^{m-1}(m_0, l_0), F^m(s_0, r_0)) \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^m(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\leq d(F^m(l_0, m_0), F^{m-1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\quad + d(F^{m-1}(l_0, m_0), F^{m-1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &\quad + \dots + d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0))
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^m(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\leq d(F^m(m_0, l_0), F^{m-1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\quad + d(F^{m-1}(m_0, l_0), F^{m-1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &\quad + \dots + d(F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

For each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m$ . From (2), (5), (10), (11) and (12), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^m(r_0, s_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^m(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \leq d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & + d(F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0), F^{n+1}(s_0, r_0)) + \dots \\
 & + d(F^{m-1}(l_0, m_0), F^m(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & + d(F^{m-1}(m_0, l_0), F^m(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \leq (\xi^n + \xi^{n+1} + \dots + \xi^{m-1}) \\
 & (d(l_0, F(r_0, s_0)) + d(m_0, F^m(s_0, r_0))) \\
 & \leq \frac{\xi^n}{1-\xi} \left[ d(l_0, F(r_0, s_0)) + d(m_0, F^m(s_0, r_0)) \right] \quad (13)
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^m(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^m(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \leq d(F^m(l_0, m_0), F^{m-1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^m(m_0, l_0), F^{m-1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^{m-1}(l_0, m_0), F^{m-1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & + d(F^{m-1}(m_0, l_0), F^{m-1}(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & + \dots + d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) \\
 & \leq (\xi^m + \xi^{m-1} + \dots + \xi^n) \\
 & [d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) + d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0)] \\
 & \leq \frac{\xi^n}{1-\xi} \left[ d(F(l_0, m_0), r_0) + d(F(m_0, l_0), s_0) \right] \quad (14)
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $n < m$ . Since, for an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that  $\frac{\xi^n}{1-\xi} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ .

From (13) and (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^m(r_0, s_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^m(s_0, r_0))] \\
 & < \frac{\epsilon}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $n, m \geq n_0$ . Then

$$(\{F^n(l_0, m_0)\}, \{F^m(r_0, s_0)\}) \text{ and}$$

$(\{F^n(m_0, l_0)\}, \{F^m(s_0, r_0)\})$  are Cauchy bi-sequence in  $(A, B)$ . Since  $(A, B, d)$  is a complete bipolar metric spaces, there exists  $l, m \in A$  and  $r, s \in B$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(l_0, m_0) = r, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(m_0, l_0) = s,$$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(s_0, r_0) = m, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(r_0, s_0) = l,$

(15)

First we show that  $F(l, m) = r, F(m, l) = s$  and  $F(r, s) = l, F(s, r) = m$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $F$  is continuous at  $(l, m)$ , for given  $\frac{\epsilon}{3} > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that

$d(l, r) + d(m, s) < \delta$  implies that

$$d(F(l, m), F(r, s)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

Since  $\{F^n(l_0, m_0)\} \rightarrow r, \{F^n(m_0, l_0)\} \rightarrow s$  and  $\{F^n(r_0, s_0)\} \rightarrow l, \{F^n(s_0, r_0)\} \rightarrow m,$

For  $\eta = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{3}, \delta\}$ , then there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$d(F^n(l_0, m_0), r) < \eta, d(F^n(m_0, l_0), s) < \eta, \text{ and}$$

$$d(F^n(r_0, s_0), l) < \eta, d(F^n(s_0, r_0), m) < \eta$$

for all  $n \geq n_1$  and every  $\eta > 0$ , since  $(\{F^n(l_0, m_0)\}, \{F^n(r_0, s_0)\})$  and  $(\{F^n(m_0, l_0)\}, \{F^n(s_0, r_0)\})$  are Cauchy sequences. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) < \eta \quad \text{and} \\
 & d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) < \eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

So from (iv) in definition 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F(l, m), r) \leq d(F(l, m), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 & \quad + d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), r) \\
 & \leq d(F(l, m), F(F^n(r_0, s_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ d(F(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(m_0, l_0)), \\
 &\quad F(F^n(r_0, s_0), F^n(s_0, r_0))) \\
 &+ d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), r) \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \eta + \eta < \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies  $d(F(l, m), r) = 0$ . Hence  $F(l, m) = r$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $F(m, l) = s$  and  $F(r, s) = l, F(s, r) = m$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(l, r) &= d(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(l_0, m_0), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(m, s) &= d(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(m_0, l_0), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $l = r$  and  $m = s$  and hence

$F(l, m) = l$  and  $F(m, l) = m$ .

The achieved Theorem is still valid for the covariant map  $F$  is not necessarily continuous. Instead, we require that underlying bipolar metric space  $(A, B)$  has an additional postulate. We discuss this in the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(A, B, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that  $(A, B, d, \leq)$  is complete bipolar metric spaces on  $(A, B)$  such that  $(A, B)$  has the following postulate:

- (i) If a non-decreasing sequence  $(\{l_n\}, \{m_n\}) \rightarrow l$  then  $(l_n, m_n) \leq l \forall n$
- (ii) If a non-decreasing sequence  $(\{m_n\}, \{l_n\}) \rightarrow m$  then  $m \leq (m_n, l_n) \forall n$

Let  $F: (A^2; B^2) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant mapping having the mixed monotone property on  $(A, B)$  and  $\mu, \lambda$  be a non-negative constants with the condition

$d(F(l; m); F(r; s)) \leq \mu d(l; r) + \lambda d(m; s)$  for all  $l; m \in A$  and  $r; s \in B$  with  $l \geq r; m \leq s$ ; **(16)** and  $\mu + \lambda < 1$ . If there is  $(l_0; m_0) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  such that

$l_0 \leq F(l_0, m_0), m_0 \geq F(m_0, l_0)$ . Then there exist  $(l; m) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  such that the

mapping  $F: A^2 \cup B^2 \rightarrow A \cup B$  has  $F(l; m) = l$ ; and  $F(m; l) = m$ .

**Proof:** Following the proof of previous Theorem 2.1, we only have to prove that

$F(l, m) = l$  and  $F(r, s) = r$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{F^n(l_0, m_0)\} \rightarrow r, \{F^n(m_0, l_0)\} \rightarrow s$  and  $\{F^n(r_0, s_0)\} \rightarrow l, \{F^n(s_0, r_0)\} \rightarrow m$ , then there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_1$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(F^n(l_0, m_0), r) &< \frac{\epsilon}{3}, d(F^n(m_0, l_0), s) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \text{ and} \\
 d(F^n(r_0, s_0), l) &< \frac{\epsilon}{3}, d(F^n(s_0, r_0), m) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n \geq n_1$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $(\{F^n(l_0, m_0)\}, \{F^n(r_0, s_0)\})$  and  $(\{F^n(m_0, l_0)\}, \{F^n(s_0, r_0)\})$  are Cauchy sequences. We get

$$d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$\text{and } d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

Taking  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with for all  $n \geq n_1$  and using

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^n(l_0, m_0) &\leq r, F^n(s_0, r_0) \geq m \text{ and} \\
 F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0) &\leq l, F^{n+1}(m_0, l_0) \geq s.
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(F(l, m), r) &\leq d(F(l, m), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &+ d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &+ d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), r) \\
 &\leq d(F(l, m), F(F^n(r_0, s_0), F^n(s_0, r_0))) \\
 &+ d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &+ d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), r) \\
 &\leq \mu d(l, F^n(r_0, s_0)) + \lambda d(m, F^n(s_0, r_0)) \\
 &+ d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), F^{n+1}(r_0, s_0)) \\
 &+ d(F^{n+1}(l_0, m_0), r) \\
 &< \mu \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \lambda \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} < (\mu + \lambda) \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{3} < \xi \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{3} < \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $d(F(l, m), r) = 0$ , hence

$F(l, m) = r$ . Similarly, we obtain  $F(m, l) = s$ ,  $F(r, s) = l$  and  $F(s, r) = m$ . On the other hand,

$$d(l, r) = d(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(l_0, m_0), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(r_0, s_0))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F^n(l_0, m_0), F^n(r_0, s_0)) = 0$$

and

$$d(m, s) = d(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(m_0, l_0), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(s_0, r_0))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F^n(m_0, l_0), F^n(s_0, r_0)) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $l = r$  and  $m = s$  and hence  $F(l, m) = l$  and  $F(m, l) = m$ .

Further, we show that the coupled fixed point is unique, in fact to provided that the space  $(A^2; B^2)$  endowed with the partial order having the every pair of elements has either a lower bound or an upper bound. That is for every  $(l, m), (l^*, m^*) \in A^2 \cup B^2$ , there is an element  $(p, q) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  such that it is comparable to  $(l, m)$  and  $(l^*, m^*)$

$$(17)$$

**Theorem 2.3:** Adding condition (17) to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, then the mapping  $F: A^2 \cup B^2 \rightarrow A \cup B$  has unique coupled fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $(l^*, m^*) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  be a another fixed point of  $F$ . Then we prove that

$$d(l, l^*) + d(m, m^*) = 0, \text{ where}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(l_0, m_0) = l \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(m_0, l_0) = m.$$

If  $(l^*, m^*) \in A^2$  and  $(l, m)$  is comparable to  $(l^*, m^*)$  with respect to the partial ordering in  $(A^2; B^2)$ , then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $(F^n(l, m), F^n(m, l)) = (l, m)$  is comparable to  $(F^n(l^*, m^*), F^n(m^*, l^*))$ .

$$\text{Now } d(l^*, l) = d(F^n(l^*, m^*), F^n(l, m))$$

$$= d\left(\begin{matrix} F(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*)) \\ F(F^{n-1}(l, m), F^{n-1}(m, l)) \end{matrix}\right)$$

$$\leq \mu d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(l, m))$$

$$+ \lambda d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(m, l)) \quad (18)$$

And

$$d(m^*, m) = d(F^n(m^*, l^*), F^n(m, l))$$

$$= d\left(\begin{matrix} F(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*)) \\ F(F^{n-1}(m, l), F^{n-1}(l, m)) \end{matrix}\right)$$

$$\leq \mu d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(m, l))$$

$$+ \lambda d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(l, m)) \quad (19)$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , combining (18) and (19)

$$d(l^*, l) + d(m^*, m)$$

$$\leq (\mu + \lambda)(d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(l, m)))$$

$$+ (\mu + \lambda)(d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(m, l)))$$

$$\leq \xi \left[ \begin{matrix} d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(l, m)) \\ + d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(m, l)) \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \xi^n \left( \begin{matrix} d(F(l^*, m^*), F(l, m)) \\ + d(F(m^*, l^*), F(m, l)) \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\leq \xi^n (d(l^*, l) + d(m^*, m))$$

Since  $\xi < 1$  which implies

$$d(l^*, l) + d(m^*, m) = 0. \text{ Hence we obtain } l = l^* \text{ and } m = m^*.$$

Similarly, if  $(l^*, m^*) \in B^2$  and  $(l, m)$  is comparable to  $(l^*, m^*)$  with respect to the partial ordering in  $(A^2; B^2)$ , then we have  $l = l^*$  and  $m = m^*$ .

If  $(l^*, m^*) \in A^2$  and  $(l, m)$  is not comparable to  $(l^*, m^*)$  then there exist two comparable lower or upper bounds  $(a, b), (a^*, b^*) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  of  $(l, m)$  and  $(l^*, m^*)$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(F^n(a, b), F^n(b, a)) = (a, b) \text{ and } (F^n(a^*, b^*), F^n(b^*, a^*)) = (a^*, b^*)$$

is comparable to  $(F^n(l, m), F^n(m, l)) = (l, m)$  and  $(F^n(l^*, m^*), F^n(m^*, l^*)) = (l^*, m^*)$

$$\text{Now } d(l^*, l) = d(F^n(l^*, m^*), F^n(l, m))$$

$$\leq d(F^n(l^*, m^*), F^n(a, b))$$

$$+ d(F^n(a^*, b^*), F^n(a, b))$$

$$+ d(F^n(a^*, b^*), F^n(l, m))$$

$$\leq \mu \left[ \begin{matrix} d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ + d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ + d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(l, m)) \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$+ \lambda \left[ \begin{matrix} d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ + d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ + d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a^*), F^{n-1}(m, l)) \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$(20) \quad \leq \xi^n \begin{bmatrix} d(l^*, a) + d(a^*, a) \\ +d(a^*, l) + d(m^*, b) \\ +d(b^*, b) + d(b^*, m) \end{bmatrix}$$

And

→ 0 as n → ∞

$$\begin{aligned} d(m^*, m) &= d(F^n(m^*, l^*), F^n(m, l)) \\ &\leq d(F^n(m^*, l^*), F^n(b, a)) \\ &\quad + d(F^n(b^*, a^*), F^n(b, a)) \\ &\quad + d(F^n(b^*, a^*), F^n(m, l)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} &\leq \lambda \begin{bmatrix} d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(l, m)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \mu \begin{bmatrix} d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a), F^{n-1}(m, l)) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

For all n ∈ N combining (20) and (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &d(l^*, l) + d(m^*, m) \\ &\leq (\mu + \lambda) \begin{bmatrix} d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(l, m)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + (\mu + \lambda) \begin{bmatrix} d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a), F^{n-1}(m, l)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq \xi^n \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} d(F^{n-1}(l^*, m^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(a, b)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(a^*, b^*), F^{n-1}(l, m)) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} d(F^{n-1}(m^*, l^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a^*), F^{n-1}(b, a)) \\ +d(F^{n-1}(b^*, a), F^{n-1}(m, l)) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \xi^n \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} d(F(l^*, m^*), F(a, b)) \\ +d(F(a^*, b^*), F(a, b)) \\ +d(F(a^*, b^*), F(l, m)) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} d(F(m^*, l^*), F(b, a)) \\ +d(F(b^*, a^*), F(b, a)) \\ +d(F(b^*, a), F(m, l)) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

So that  $d(l^*, l) + d(m^*, m) = 0$  implies  $l^* = l$  and  $m^* = m$ . Similarly, if  $(l^*, m^*) \in B^2$  and  $(l, m)$  is incomparable to  $(l^*, m^*)$  with respect to the partial ordering in  $(A^2; B^2)$ , then we have  $l = l^*$  and  $m = m^*$ . Hence  $(l, m)$  is unique coupled fixed point of  $F$ .

If we take equal constants  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  in Theorem 2.1, then following corollary is obtained.

**Corollary 1:** Let  $F: (A^2; B^2) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant map. If  $F$  is a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on  $(A; B)$  and  $\mu \in [0, 1)$  with the condition  $d(F(l; m); F(r; s)) \leq \frac{\mu}{2}(d(l; r) + d(m; s))$  for all  $l; m \in A$  and  $r; s \in B$  with  $l \geq r; m \leq s$  (22) If there exist  $(l_0; m_0) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  such that  $l_0 \leq F(l_0; m_0), m_0 \geq F(m_0, l_0)$ . Then there exist  $(l; m) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  such that the mapping  $F: A^2 \cup B^2 \rightarrow A \cup B$  has  $F(l; m) = l$ ; and  $F(m; l) = m$ .

**Corollary 2:** Corollary 1 satisfy to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. Then  $F: A^2 \cup B^2 \rightarrow A \cup B$  has a unique coupled fixed point.

**Definition 2.4:** Let

$F: (A \times B; B \times A) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant map, an element  $(a; p) \in A \times B$  is called coupled fixed point of  $F$  if  $F(a; p) = a$ ; and  $F(p; a) = p$ .

**Theorem 2.5:** Let

$F: (A \times B; B \times A) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant map. If  $F$  is a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on  $(A, B)$  and  $\mu, \lambda$  be a non – negative constants with the condition  $d(F(l; r); F(s; m)) \leq \mu d(l; s) + \lambda d(m; r)$  for all  $l; m \in A$  and  $r; s \in B$  with  $l \geq s; m \leq r$ ; (23) and  $\mu + \lambda < 1$ . If there exist

$(l_0; m_0) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A)$  such that  $l_0 \leq F(l_0; r_0), r_0 \geq F(r_0, l_0)$ . Then there exist  $(l; m) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A)$  such that the mapping  $F: (A \times B) \cup (B \times A) \rightarrow A \cup B$  has  $F(l; r) = l$ ; and  $F(r; l) = r$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $(A, B, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that  $(A, B, d, \leq)$  is complete bipolar metric spaces on  $(A, B)$  such that  $(A, B)$  has the following postulate:

- (i) If a non-decreasing sequence  $(\{l_n\}, \{r_n\}) \rightarrow l$  then  $(l_n, r_n) \leq l \forall n$
- (ii) If a non-decreasing sequence  $(\{r_n\}, \{l_n\}) \rightarrow r$  then  $r \leq (r_n, l_n) \forall n$

Let  $F: (A \times B, B \times A) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant mapping having the mixed monotone property on  $(A, B)$  and  $\mu, \lambda$  be a non-negative constants with satisfying the condition of covariant mapping  $d(F(l; r); F(s; m)) \leq \mu d(l; s) + \lambda d(m; r)$  for all  $l; m \in A$  and  $r; s \in B$  with  $l \geq s; m \leq r$ ; (24) and  $\mu + \lambda < 1$ . If  $(l_0; r_0) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A)$

Such that  $l_0 \leq F(l_0; r_0), r_0 \geq F(r_0, l_0)$ . Then there exist  $(l; r) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A)$  such that

$F: (A \times B) \cup (B \times A) \rightarrow A \cup B$  has

$F(l; r) = l$ ; and  $F(r; l) = r$ .

**Corollary 3:** Let

$F: (A \times B, B \times A) \rightrightarrows (A; B)$  be a covariant map. If  $F$  is a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on  $(A; B)$  and  $\mu \in [0, 1)$  with satisfying the condition of covariant mapping  $d(F(l; r); F(s; m)) \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \left( \begin{matrix} d(l; s) \\ + d(m; r) \end{matrix} \right)$  for all  $l; m \in A$  and  $r; s \in B$  with  $l \geq s; m \leq r$ . (25) If there is  $(l_0; r_0) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A)$

such that  $l_0 \leq F(l_0; r_0), r_0 \geq F(r_0, l_0)$ . Then there exist  $(l; r) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A)$  such that  $F: (A \times B) \cup (B \times A) \rightarrow A \cup B$  has  $F(l; r) = l$ ; and  $F(r; l) = r$ .

**Example 2.7:** Let  $A = \{U_m(\mathbb{R})/U_m(\mathbb{R})$  is upper triangular matrices over  $\mathbb{R}\}$  and

$B = \{L_m(\mathbb{R})/L_m(\mathbb{R})$  is lower triangular matrices over  $\mathbb{R}\}$  with the bipolar metri

$d(P, Q) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m |p_{ij} - q_{ij}|$  for all  $P = (p_{ij})_{m \times m} \in U_m(\mathbb{R})$  and  $Q = (q_{ij})_{m \times m} \in L_m(\mathbb{R})$ . On the set  $(A, B)$ , we consider the following relation:  $(P, Q) \in A^2 \cup B^2, P \leq Q \Leftrightarrow p_{ij} \leq q_{ij}$  where  $\leq$  is usual ordering. Then clearly,  $(A, B, d)$  is a complete bipolar metric space and  $(A, B, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set. And  $(A, B)$  has the property

as in Theorem (2.2). Let  $F: (A^2, B^2) \rightrightarrows (A, B)$  be defined as  $F(P, Q) = \left( \frac{p_{ij} + q_{ij}}{5} \right) \forall$

$(P = (p_{ij})_{m \times m}, Q = (q_{ij})_{m \times m}) \in A^2 \cup B^2$ . Then obviously,  $F$  has the mixed monotone property, also there exist  $P_0 = (O_{ij})_{m \times m}$  and  $Q_0 = (I_{ij})_{m \times m}$  such that  $F((O_{ij})_{m \times m}, (I_{ij})_{m \times m}) = \left( \frac{O_{ij} + I_{ij}}{5} \right)_{m \times m} \geq (O_{ij})_{m \times m}$

and

$$F((I_{ij})_{m \times m}, (O_{ij})_{m \times m}) = \left( \frac{O_{ij} + I_{ij}}{5} \right)_{m \times m} \leq (I_{ij})_{m \times m}$$

Taking  $(P = (p_{ij})_{m \times m}, Q = (q_{ij})_{m \times m})$ ,

$(R = (r_{ij})_{m \times m}, S = (s_{ij})_{m \times m}) \in A^2 \cup B^2$  with  $P \geq R$  and  $Q \leq S, p_{ij} \geq r_{ij}, q_{ij} \leq s_{ij}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(P, Q), F(R, S)) &= d\left(\frac{p_{ij} + q_{ij}}{5}, \frac{r_{ij} + s_{ij}}{5}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i,j=1}^m |(p_{ij} + q_{ij}) - (r_{ij} + s_{ij})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^m |p_{ij} - r_{ij}| + \sum_{i,j=1}^m |q_{ij} - s_{ij}| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5} (d(P, R) + d(Q, S)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Corollary 1 holds and  $(O_{m \times m}, O_{m \times m})$  is the coupled fixed point of  $F$ .

**3 CONCLUSIONS**

This paper presents some coupled fixed point results by using weak contractive conditions defined on bipolar metric space endowed with partial order and suitable examples that supports the main results.

**4 DECLARATION**

- **Competing Interest:** The authors declare that they have no competing interest.
- **Funding:** No funding.
- **Author’s contributions:** All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** The authors are very thankful to the reviewers and editors for their valuable comments, remarks and suggestions which improved the paper in good form.

### References

- [1] Banach S; (1922), Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrales, Fund.Math. 3.133-181.
- [2] T. G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham; (2006), Fixed point theorems in Partially ordered metric spaces and Applications, Nonlinear Anal. TMA65 (2006) 1379- 1393.
- [3] A. C. M. Ran, M.C. B. Reurings; (2004), A fixed point theorems in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132(2004) 1435-1443.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, D. ÓReagan; (2008), Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal. 87(2008) 1-8.
- [5] Eshaghi Gordji. M, Baghani. H, Kim G.H; (2012), A Fixed point theorem for contraction type maps In partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, volum 2012, article ID 981517,8 doi:10.1155/2012/981517.
- [6] Harjani. J, Sadarangani .K; (2009). fixed point Theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets, Nonlinear Anal.71, 3403- 3410.
- [7] J. J. Nieto, R. R. Lopez; (2005) Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order 22 (2005) ,223-239.
- [8] S. Heikkila, V. Lakshmikantham; (1994), Monotone Iterative Techniques for Discontinuous Nonlinear Differential equations, Marcel Delker, New York,
- [9] I. Altun, H. Simsek.; (2010), some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed point theory Appl. 2010 ,17 pages. Article ID 621469.
- [10] L. Ćirić, N. Cakic, M. Rajovic, J. S. Ume; (2008), Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed point theory Appl. 2008, 11 pages. Article ID 131294.
- [11] Nguyen Van Luong, Nguyen Xuan Thuan, Trinh Thi Hai; (2011), Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces depended on another function, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, ISSN:1821-1291, Vol, 3 issue 3(2011), 129-140.
- [12] V. Lakshmikantham, Ljubomir Ćirić; (2009), Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Analysis 70(2009)4341-4349.
- [13] N. V. Luong, N.X. Thuan; (2011), Coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces and application, Nonlinear Anal.74(2011)983-992.
- [14] N. V. Luong, N.X. Thuan; (2010), Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol 2(4)(2010), 16-24
- [15] Ali Mutlu, K`ubra `O zkan, Utku G`urdal; (2017), Coupled fixed point theorems on bipolar metric spaces, European journal of pure and applied mathematics. Vol. 10, No. 4, 2017, 655-667.
- [16] Ali Mutlu, Utku G`urdal;(2016) Bipolar metric spaces and some fixed Point Theorems, Nonlinear Sci. Appl.9(9), 5362- 5373.